Murdock Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

| , | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| School Contact Information | | | |
| School Name | Murdock Elementary School | | |
| Street | 655 French Street | | |
| City, State, Zip | Willows, CA 95988 | | |
| Phone Number | (530) 934-6640 | | |
| Principal | Holly McLaughlin | | |
| E-mail Address | hmclaughlin@willowsunified.org | | |
| CDS Code | 11626616007603 | | |

| District Contact Information | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| District Name Willows Unified School District | | | | |
| Phone Number | 530.934.6600 | | | |
| Web Site | www.willowsunified.org | | | |
| Superintendent | Mort Geivett, Ed.D. | | | |
| E-mail Address | mgeivett@willowsunified.org | | | |

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Murdock Elementary School, located at 655 French Street in Willows, has an enrollment of approximately 533 students. Murdock has twenty-five teachers serving students that are mostly White, Hispanic, and Asian in grades K - 4.

Murdock Elementary School is committed to developing a learning community of responsible citizens and lifelong learners. We cultivate and celebrate our differences and work in partnership with our community to help our students achieve their full potential and realize their dreams.

It is the mission of Murdock Elementary School to develop an environment in which high expectations and academic achievement go hand in hand with social, psychological, and moral development. Within state and local guidelines, we will provide an effective instructional program based on shared decision-making and communication by staff, students, parents, and the community that will meet the needs of a diverse student population.

The core curriculum consists of English, reading, math, science, social studies, and physical education as mandated by the State Board of Education and set forth in the district curriculum guidelines. The content of the curriculum is the same for all students, but the method of delivery is adjusted to meet the needs of all students. Some of the adjustments may include program changes, altering the amount and/or difficulty of homework assignments, using cooperative learning techniques, assigning peer tutors, participating in team teaching, and consulting with the parents and support services (i.e., counselor, psychologist, speech and language therapist).

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Murdock Elementary has one parent club: Parent Teacher Organization. The goals of the parent group are to:

- Organize parents for special activities that benefit Murdock School
- Fund-raising to support classroom learning
- Parent education/information and community relations

In addition to participating in the Parent Organizations, here are further ways you can participate in your child's school:

- Classroom volunteer
- Library volunteer
- PTO volunteer
- Drive on field trips
- Help with special classroom projects
- Make a presentation on your travels, job, hobby, etc.
- Decorate for events
- Help with reading, writing, math, science, computers, PE, fine arts, history projects
- Organize a party
- Post student art in the halls
- Do things outside school hours: make copies, shop for supplies, assemble party favors, make costumes

Please contact the school principal, Holly McLaughlin at 934-6640, to find out how you can participate.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| Kindergarten | 103 |
| Grade 1 | 117 |
| Grade 2 | 91 |
| Grade 3 | 108 |
| Grade 4 | 114 |
| Grade 5 | |
| Ungraded Elementary | |
| Total Enrollment | 533 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment | Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Black or African American | 1.5 | White | 37.7 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 4.5 | Two or More Races | 0 |
| Asian | 6.2 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 76.4 |
| Filipino | 0.4 | English Learners | 39.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 49.0 | Students with Disabilities | 3.5 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.6 | | |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| | | 2009 | 9-10 | · | 2010-11 | | | 2011-12 | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|------|-------------|-------|---------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------|------|-------|-----|
| Grade Level | Avg. | Numb | er of Class | rooms | Avg. | Avg. Number of Classrooms | | Avg. | Number of Classrooms | | | |
| 2000. | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| К | 27.2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23.8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 25.25 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 1 | 28.75 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 33.25 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 26.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 22.5 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 32.25 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | 30.5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 28.3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28.5 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure-Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire drills monthly, earthquake drills three times each year, and Code Blue drills four times each year. Teachers and students are familiar with all the procedures.

School grounds are monitored by school staff. All visitors are required to sign in at the school's main office and wear a visitor's pass. Safety is high priority at Murdock Elementary School. The plan was reviewed and updated October, 2012.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| D-4-* | | School | | District | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--|
| Rate* | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | |
| Suspensions | 18.92 | 8.8 | 1.9 | 30.44 | 10.0 | 4.11 | |
| Expulsions | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | .25 | 0 | |

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 09-09-2012

Despite the age, Murdock Elementary prides itself in being a safe, clean, and well-kept campus. Two full-time custodians clean the classrooms and office areas every other day while the restrooms and food service areas are cleaned daily. The entire school is monitored closely by administrators to report any unforeseen mishaps that may need the immediate attention of our custodial staff.

Murdock Elementary main buildings were built in 1952. Portable Classroom buildings were put in place during 1971, 1985, 1987, 1992, and 2000. The main buildings have been updated several times to include: installing heating and cooling, carpeting, and new lighting which has helped to maintain the efficiency and structure of the building. The cafeteria/multi-purpose room is available to accommodate our food service program and our music program. The school is in good condition despite its age.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

| Contain Insuranted | Repair Status | | | | Repair Needed and | |
|--|---------------|------|------|------|---|--|
| System Inspected | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | Action Taken or Planned | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | [] | [X] | [] | [] | | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | [] | [] | [X] | [] | Water damage on the 100 wing in the boys bathroom | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | [] | [X] | [] | [] | | |

| Control Insuranted | | Repair | Status | Repair Needed and | |
|--|-----------|--------|--------|-------------------|--|
| System Inspected | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | Action Taken or Planned |
| Electrical: Electrical | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | [] | [] | [X] | [] | Boys bathroom on the 100 wing needs to be repaired |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | [] | [] | [X] | [] | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [] | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Overall Rating | [] | [] | [X] | [] | |

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

| T | | District | | |
|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Teachers | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2011-12 |
| With Full Credential | 24 | 23 | 20 | 64 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

| Landing of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | | | |
| This School | 100 | 0 | | | |
| All Schools in District | 100 | 0 | | | |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 0 | 0 | | | |

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

| Title | Number of FTE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Academic Counselor | 0 | |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | .80 | |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | | |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | .488 | |
| Psychologist | .50 | |
| Social Worker | | |
| Nurse | 0.313 | |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.5 | |
| Resource Specialist | | |
| Other | 0 | |

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-2012

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Reading/Language Arts | 2010 CA Treasures (McGraw Hill) | Yes | 0 |
| Mathematics | 2009 enVision (Scott Foresman-Addison Wesley) | Yes | 0 |
| Science | 2008 MacMillan/McGraw Hill California Science | Yes | 0 |
| History-Social Science | 2007 History Social Science (Houghton Mifflin) | Yes | 0 |
| Foreign Language | | | |
| Health | | | |
| Visual and Performing Arts | | | |

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| | | Average | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Level | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ Unrestricted | Teacher Salary |
| School Site | 7,336 | 1,170 | 6,166 | \$73,385 |
| District | | | | \$66,847 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | | | | 9.8 |
| State | | | \$5,455 | \$57,948 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | | | 13.0 | 26.6 |

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

We are required to report financial data from the 2009-10 school year by the California Department of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office.

Spending per Student

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2009-10 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

Next to the figures for the district and state averages, we show the percentage by which the schools spending varies from the district and state averages. For example, we calculate the school's variance from the district average using this formula:

^{**} Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
|---|--------------------|---|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$52,984 | \$38,099 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$68,693 | \$55,582 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$82,760 | \$71,884 |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | \$105,436 | \$88,790 |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | \$107,274 | \$93,519 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | \$115,632 | \$95,671 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$126,708 | \$115,401 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 0 | 34% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 0 | 7% |

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Subject | | School District | | | rict State | | | | |
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 |
| English-Language Arts | 35 | 38 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 52 | 54 | 56 |
| Mathematics | 45 | 43 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 48 | 50 | 51 |
| Science | | | | 54 | 48 | 47 | 54 | 57 | 60 |
| History-Social Science | | | | 38 | 31 | 24 | 44 | 48 | 49 |

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

| | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Group | English-Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science | | | |
| All Students in the LEA | 43 | 34 | 47 | 24 | | | |
| All Student at the School | 40 | 39 | | | | | |
| Male | 39 | 40 | | | | | |
| Female | 41 | 38 | | | | | |
| Black or African American | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | | |
| Asian | 32 | 21 | | | | | |
| Filipino | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 32 | 34 | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | | | | | |
| White | 50 | 48 | | | | | |
| Two or More Races | | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 31 | 31 | | | | | |
| English Learners | 24 | 29 | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 60 | 36 | | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | | | | | | | |

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level | Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Statewide | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Similar Schools | 4 | 1 | 1 |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| Group | | Actual API Change | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | | | | |
| All Students at the School | -51 | 3 | -1 | | | | |
| Black or African American | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | | |
| Asian | | | | | | | |
| Filipino | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | -53 | 5 | 21 | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | | | | | |
| White | -48 | 1 | -22 | | | | |
| Two or More Races | | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | -61 | 27 | -14 | | | | |
| English Learners | -61 | 14 | 24 | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | | | | |

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

| | 2012 Growth API | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Group | Sch | ool | District | | State | | |
| | # of Students | Growth API | # of Students | Growth API | # of Students | Growth API | |
| All Students at the School | 294 | 732 | 1,065 | 720 | 4,664,264 | 788 | |
| Black or African American | 3 | | 12 | 683 | 313,201 | 710 | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 9 | | 30 | 569 | 31,606 | 742 | |
| Asian | 19 | 711 | 62 | 733 | 404,670 | 905 | |
| Filipino | 0 | | 1 | | 124,824 | 869 | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 139 | 700 | 484 | 691 | 2,425,230 | 740 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | | 5 | | 26,563 | 775 | |
| White | 124 | 772 | 467 | 758 | 1,221,860 | 853 | |
| Two or More Races | 0 | | 0 | | 88,428 | 849 | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 203 | 687 | 672 | 680 | 2,779,680 | 737 | |
| English Learners | 124 | 688 | 365 | 649 | 1,530,297 | 716 | |
| Students with Disabilities | 22 | 741 | 104 | 574 | 530,935 | 607 | |

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
|---|--------|----------|
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | No | No |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable) | N/A | No |

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| | 1 | 7: |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Indicator | School | District |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2009-2010 | 2009-2010 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 4 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | | 3 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | | 75 |

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

All Murdock Elementary Teachers hold a full credential. This number is close to the average for all elementary schools in the state. None of the faculty at Murdock holds a trainee credential, which is reserved for those teachers who are in the process of completing their teacher training. In comparison, two percent of elementary school teachers throughout the state hold trainee credentials. None of our faculty holds an emergency permit. Very few elementary school teachers hold this authorization statewide (just one percent). 100 percent of the faculty at Murdock hold the elementary (multiple-subject) credential. This number is above the average for elementary schools in California, which is 90 percent. You can find three years of data about teachers' credentials in the Data Almanac that accompanies this report.

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Tehama, Butte, and Glenn County Offices of Education provide extensive opportunities for additional learning to include: Leadership Matters Workshop Series, Professional Learning Communities Training, Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI), and CA Treasures Curriculum Training.